

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/978,235	Applicant(s) Mass et al.
	Examiner Cheryl Juska	Group Art Unit 1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cheryl Juska (3) _____
 (2) Michael Marcus (4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 29, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 2-4 and new claims 10 and 11

Identification of prior art discussed:

none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Marcus emailed with a proposed amendment as mentioned in our last Interview (see Summary, Paper No. 8). (The email and my response are attached.) After reviewing the proposed amendment, I called Marcus to state that said amendment appeared to overcome the objections to the specification and the 112 issues. However, I noted that in the amendment to page 1 of the specification, the reference numbers 12 and 14 were transposed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

sj
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.